

The Criminal Verdict

June 23, 2012

The New York Times and most other main street media have reported that Sandusky and Monsignor Lynn are guilty. The victims were that of children. Also, The Massachusetts top court ruled in Eaton versus Fannie Mae. Appears the high court applied a degree of reciprocity and not retroactivity, this author finds such as being fair and just as each must prove their own! Of course, this will not make a lot of lazy attorneys happy for their road to easy money has been paved over. Additionally, those that have already fallen victim lack the resources to pursue recourse. Why not retroactivity, this would place many a judge into risk were it determine judges acted not under color.

This author has heard many state, judges rule in favor of protecting the financial system and avoid following that of law. When proven true, many a judge in the future may ask of himself before God and after becoming a victim to greed, “What the Hell did I do?” Do the crime, do the time, an analogy this author has heard for many a year. Of course, a non penitent judge will never be able to ask Judge God that question for the judge chose poorly and inflicted injury upon God’s children and as such has already condemned his soul from not seeing an eternal life of peace. As somewhat noted, a judge now being a victim would also need to ask, why did I aid in perpetuating evil’s greed fraud into the next millennia? Lady Justice wears a blindfold, why? hide the truth, could it be?

So Mot It Be

Pro se complaints are held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers; however, regardless of whether the plaintiff is proceeding pro se or is represented by counsel, pleadings must show specific, well-plead facts, not mere conclusory allegations to avoid dismissal for failure to state claim upon which relief can be granted.